

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Washington		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007	
Overall Report <input type="checkbox"/>		Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? <input type="checkbox"/> yes	
Two-parent Report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (check one)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no	
<p align="center">PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)</p> <p>1. Name of eligibility change: NO ELIGIBILITY CHANGES WERE MADE IN FY 2006</p> <p>2. Implementation date of eligibility change:</p> <p>3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:</p> <p>4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):</p> <p>5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: _____</p>			

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Washington

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Pro-Rata Reduction for Excess MOE – Summary

Taking into account the pro rata reduction in the FY2005 caseload due to excess MOE spending, the average monthly TANF two-parent caseload declined by 26.6 percent between FY 2005 and FY 2006.

Washington TANF Two-Parent Caseload Data for FY 2005 and FY 2006	
FY 2005 monthly average caseload	5,129
FY 2006 monthly average caseload	4,537
FY 2006 monthly average caseload, adjusted for excess MOE spending	3,765
Caseload decline, FY2005 to FY 2006 (Note: Washington did not make any eligibility changes in FY 2006)	1,364
Sources: TANF Data Reports	

Pro-Rata Reduction for Excess MOE – Process Defined

1. The State met its two-parent family work participation rate requirement in FY 2006 so the relevant spending floor is 75 percent of the basic MOE amount.
2. The pro rata reduction takes into account the use of federal TANF funds. The pro rata reduction is calculated as the State excess MOE divided by the average cost per case, where cost is the sum of State and federal TANF funds.
3. The end result is a pro rata reduction of 9,218 MOE-funded cases based upon the all-family caseload.
4. The excess MOE-funded cases are then distributed between the all-family caseload and the two-parent caseload based upon the ratio of the two-parent caseload to the all-family caseload (8.4%). This results in 772 cases attributed to the two-parent family caseload and 8,446 cases attributed to the all-family caseload.
5. This number (772) is subtracted above from the actual FY 2005 monthly average caseload (4,537) to yield the adjusted FY2005 caseload of 3,765.

The following table shows how the pro rata reduction for excess MOE was calculated and attributed to the all-family caseload and the two-parent caseload.

OMB Approval No.: 0970-0309 Expiration Date: 09/30/2009

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

FFY 06 Calculation

TANF All-Family Caseload

Calculation 1	MOE Spending	% of TANF Spending	Pro-Rata Share	
75% MOE Level	\$257,609,033	40.67%	22,031	
Spending above Required 75%	\$107,792,853	17.02%	9,218	MOE-Funded Cases
Total MOE Spending	\$365,401,886	57.69%	31,249	
TANF Funds Spent (Adjusted SFAG)	\$267,997,640			
Total TANF Spending	\$633,399,526			

Cases Receiving Assistance 54,168

Avg. MOE

Calculation 2	MOE Spending	Per Case	Cases Served	
75% MOE Level	\$257,609,033.00	\$11,693.24	22,031	
Spending above Required 75%	\$107,792,853.00	\$11,693.24	9,218	MOE-Funded Cases
Total MOE Spending	\$365,401,886.00	\$11,693.24	31,249	
TANF Funds Spent (Adjusted SFAG)	\$267,997,640.00			
Total TANF Spending	\$633,399,526.00			

Cases Receiving Assistance 54,168
Average Spending per Case \$11,693.24

All-Family Cases Receiving Assistance 54,168
Two-Parent Cases Receiving Assistance 4,537
Two-Parent Ratio to All-Family Caseload 8.4%

MOE-Funded Excess Cases 9,218
Pro-Rata Attribution to Two-Parent Family Caseload 772
Pro-Rata Attribution to All-Family Caseload 8,446

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Washington

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)

Deb Marley

(name)

Assistant Secretary, Economic Services Administration

(title)